

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems, speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Monticello MT**

Matthew Thomas

29

**Alief Kerr JT**

Joshua Tang

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Alief Kerr JT  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed:  

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD

- The off the AFF has gets answers to the point were I can't use them as voters
- The Neg was able to extend the agency issue.
- ~~The~~ The NC goes extended as well.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems, speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Stony Point MY**

**Homeschool KV**

Mirusha Yogarajah

25

Kelsey Valadez

27

The better debating was done by the NEG from HOMESCHOOL  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I THINK THAT THE AC NEVER GETS OUT  
ANY CLEAR ANSWER TO THE NC, WHICH  
IS ADMITED TO PRECLUDE THE CONTEXT  
STUFF, WHICH MAKES THE AC COME  
SECOND. THE "A PRIORI" ARGUMENT  
WAS ALSO NOT COMPELLING. THERE IS  
ALSO NO REASON TO SPEED THROUGH  
A 2A. LIKE THAT.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Shawnee HS**

**Cascia Hall DA**

Heather Swadley

25

Daniel Auffenberg

21

The better debating was done by the AFF from Shawnee HS  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote on AFF syllogistic support of equality of persons. There is  
no place for me to possibly negate in the round.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Stony Point TH**

Tyler Haulotte

27

**St. Vincent De Paul EP**

Ethan Pawson

27

The better debating was done by the AFF from Stony Point TH  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: ROGER C. LEGRAND

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I VOTE AFF

- ① IMPLICATION TO ② GIVEN
  - ② NO OFFENSE EXTENSION BY NEG
  - ③ AFF WINS FRAMEWORK PLUS FIRST CONTRIBUTION
- (NOT PROACTIVE REASON TO VOTE)

GOOD K BUT YOU NEED TO LEARN TO DISTINGUISH  
BETWEEN PARTS.

Room: FA108  
Flight A: Start 2:15pm  
LD

3

Hogan, Tim

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Los Altos JW**

Jing Wang

28

**Austin SFA JT**

James Tobey

27.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Los Altos JW  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

Room: FA120  
Flight A: Start 2:15pm  
LD

3

spirtos, mike

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Northland Christian JR**

Josh Roberts

27

**Scarsdale DD**

Daniel Daks

27

The better debating was done by the NEG from Scarsdale DD  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

*[Signature]*

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral!

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

## AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

### Northland Christian TC

Thomas Carmichael

3.25

28

## NEGATIVE

POINTS

### Plano East SS

Shilpa Sethuraman

3.08

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Shilpa Sethuraman  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

## COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

caerocid not student  
St. Marks

weeding out,  
KNT,  
of Sobery.

I think Glover card helps to give impact  
to the neg consequence of weeding out some groups.  
At that point, other standard is met w/ a neg ballot.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Hockaday SR

Sarah Ridout

27

Seven Lakes Jk

Jacob koshak

26.5

The better debating was done by the

AF

from

Hockaday

AF or NEG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

This round needed a little more focus. The competing visions of morality should have been more directly compared. That being said -

- Neg & dispute burden placed on him  
(does a moral prohibition exist)
- Neg & dispute that culpability is key to assigning moral blame & therefore whether an action is permissible.
- Aff makes args that we place too much moral inflection but does not try to undermine fundamental notion that IND & to MORALLY blame since our actions are largely driven by society @ large. ∴ the action is permissible bc we can't assign culpability to the INDIVIDUAL



Room: FA106  
Flight A: Start 2:15pm  
LD

3

Evnen, Tom

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Pace Academy AZ**

Alex Zhang

29.5

**Bronx Science BE**

Bobby Esnard

29.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from PACE AZ  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Blake PO**

**Bronx Science SS**

Phelan O'Neill

29

Saboor Sheerazi

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from Bronx SS  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF

- insane AC... might want to shorten it a little to fit  
in the video and so can fit in when more theory  
preempt to constitutionality

NEG

- pretty good coverage ... perhaps spend a little less  
time on frame work so can put a few more responses on  
his contentions but still good job

RFD

I negate because I think that in order to vote <sup>on the</sup> AFF, <sup>either</sup> ~~then~~  
the AFF needs to be winning condo good which I don't think is.  
Because of that I look to the offense extended from the NC  
which the NEG does a better job with extending.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems, speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Marquette University SH

Samuel Hope

27.5

Albuquerque Academy IE

Ian Erickson-Kery

27.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Marquette  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- INC turns poorly structured in relation to burdens/voting issues
- INC subject good args seem contrary to Heidegger / deconstructionist thought
- read Derrida 'gift of death', it's more topic specific
- + better explains Heidegger's thoughts on death / puts them in perspective with a Levinasian interp of living for others (would also be good for NE paper)

in short, your advocacy is trending sketchy ground between modernist valuations of the self + deconstructionist rejections of a preordained interp of what the self ought do

AC is better than most conseq. cases b/c needs more analysis concerning:

③ the value

④ alt moral theories besides deontology

## St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems, speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

## POINTS

**Brophy College Prep JL**

27

The better debating was done by the AFF from Elkinsds  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Eric M Palmer

**COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:**

values of  
morals

reject  
rules

permits  
no  
rules

the  
save  
people

not  
primary

from  
suffering

stand

reject  
for  
allowing  
people  
to do it

rules  
are  
ex. for  
freedom

reject  
curriculum  
utilizing  
phil.

Deceit  
empty  
signature

egemonic  
force

upst  
totalizing  
system

being  
indis-  
self is  
at unitary

multitude  
liba regress

Many  
mediation  
two persons

Time  
ethics  
of  
intitude

2020k

rules  
bad

no  
extra  
of  
AC

lost AC

rule  
neg

rules

show  
rest  
at best  
pressure  
ask

people  
are  
finite =  
discrete  
structure

Chaos  
stability

over-  
peop

1.  
2.

ded: \_\_\_\_\_

Room: LS120  
Flight A: Start 2:15pm

LD

3

Duby, Sam

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems, speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

Apple Valley KG

Kevin Granlund

27.5

Glenbrook North PD

Pat Donovan

27.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Glenbrook North PD  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Sam Duby

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Winston Churchill AL

Anderson MV

Amanda Legrand

Madeline Vuong

27

28

*try to be more precise  
in your CX  
answers!*

The better debating was done by the

AFF or NEG

from

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: Jo Russell

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

*For ~~the~~ me, the rd. turned on the CBA issue  
which neg. did not address adequately. My intent is to  
save, both are moral. "Learning to Kill" is always  
wrong, as aff. argued effectively.*

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**West GT**

Graham Tierney

27.5

**Albuquerque Academy KC**

Kate Cygan

27.5

The better debating was done by the ~~Aff~~ Neg from Albuquerque KC  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Delp

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

① No answer to neg case in 2AR = bad

② Can do the aff w/o 1st selling  
the axn most

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems, speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Mayde Creek SZ

Sara Zaman

27

Carrollton School of Ag

Alina gomez

26

The better debating was done by the

AFF or NEG

from

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AC - Perhaps it is me is But in your C3 I am unclear what you are saying. moral starts? The utility argument is a mess because NC does not cross apply ~~the~~ <sup>at all</sup> ~~the~~. The NR cross applies, but I don't see it directly answering the off frame work. After then uses it to address second place, since the V/C are broadly same - off better misses the rights.



# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems, speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

San Ramon Valley AS

Amanda Swenson

Colleyville Heritage BB

Bekah Boyer

The better debating was done by the

AFF or NEG

from

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

This round is 2 ships passing in the night. To a great extent this seems to be mostly attributable to a serious lack of clarity on the part of the negative debater. Explanations of arguments against aff are confusing at best, and I often am asking her in the word what is being said even starts to address what she says. Had I not just heard basically the same case last round I'd have been totally lost in terms of NE extensions. NE is all over the place -- all that said I do wish aff had done a better job cleaning everything up, but could have done much worse. I get the feeling that neg = CRAZY NERVOUS!!! If she'd take a breath, and step out of her role as a debater for a moment prior to each speech and relax, shake off the nerves, maybe this will help. I get the impression she knows what she's saying, but is way too nervous for it to come across.

RED I'm voting off the syllogism in AC. I don't ever hear a reason winning the syllogism & sufficient, why NC = before AC, and answers to aff conception of morality ignore that aff does offer warranted reasons to believe that "the usual course of action" would be to affirm. Arguments about not having an obligation to save & answer premise #1 that killing = & saving & I have to do a ton of work for neg to make the calladham CA she tries to make an premise #2 that

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

## AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

## NEGATIVE

POINTS

Salado TC

Tyler Cook

27.5

Southlake Carroll CC

Cathy Creighton

27.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Salado TC  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

*Gary Johnson*

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

*I affirm on dropped response to NC that  
if morality is relative, then everything  
is permissible.*

*Also, AFF wins on subpt. B extension b/c I  
don't believe AFF has categorical burden.  
2NR reasons why are NEW.*

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems, speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

## AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

## NEGATIVE

POINTS

### Mountain View DK

Daniel Khalessi

29.5

### Northland Christian MD

Molly Dunn

27.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Mountain View DK  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

## COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NEG- Your second rebuttal is extremely non-responsive. You went to point after point on the flow and act as if he made no responses. This strategy won't work often because you grant his arguments.

RFD: ① The Neg mishandles the AFF burden. It's not responded to at all until the second negative speech - which is obviously too late for me to consider it.

- The dropped burden allows me to presume AFF if necessary & it delinks the NC from negating the resolution b/c now she has to defend killing more to save 1 which does not gel with her position at all.

② The Colby card is extended and functions to link turn the NC which is sufficient for the AFF team win - as per the concession in CX

③ Applebaum is also turned & the response in the second negative speech just reasserts applebaum without paying lip service to the warrant in the turn

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Awty International PH

Phillips Hogan

28.5

130

Crowley DL

1150

Daniel Lumpee

28.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from DANIEL LUMPEE  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Roger L. Legrand

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

THE STRUCTURE OF THE IAC IS NOT VERY CONSPICUOUS TO EASY  
FLOWING B/C OF THE GIANT (AND SEEMINGLY RANDOM) USE OF  
NUMBERING/CAPITALS.

THE IAC WOULD BE BETTER SERVED TO GENERATE MORE OFFENCE  
ON THE AFF FLOW.

I VOTE NEG B/C

① CALLAHAN ANALYSIS

② & SEQUENCE

## St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems, speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

## POINTS

## Scarsdale MM

## Marcus Moretti

from SLASH & M  
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed:

**COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:**

[illegible]

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems, speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Marcus CC

Mayde Creek DA

Carson Chalmers

28

Danny Alexander

27

The better debating was done by the Aff from MARCUS CC  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD

- The neg strat to pin the rd on Utility was risky, because it presupposes the Aff was going to use ~~the~~ it. In so far as the Aff turns away from it - the neg loses a huge part of their off.
- The ~~non~~ non warrant of diatology kicks out of this.
- So I ~~do~~ have to extend the moral construct of the prohibition argument. This gives the Aff enough off to ~~get~~ the ballot

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Hattiesburg BK**

Bretton Klinedinst

20

**Vestavia Hills High KS**


Kevin Sipe

25

The better debating was done by the Neg from Vestavia KS  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_



COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**New Trier Township XX**

**Harker SR**

Andrew Chesley

28

Sachin Rangarajan

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Harker SR  
AFF of NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Daryl B

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ONLY K = an answer to line = all impacts  
go on and alt = only chance to  
solve



# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Southlake Carroll RB**

Ryan Bennett

28.5

**Kingwood NG**

Neil Grigg

26.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from SLC RB  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: ME RA

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I HAVE NO CLUE WHAT I GET VIA NEGATION. THERE IS NO OFFENSE EXTENDED. THE  
CLOSEST IS PLURALITY BUT THERE IS NO FRAMEWORK BY WHICH TO EVALUATE THE ARG.  
THUS, I VOTE ON GEMIRTH 1 WHEN AN ACTOR ACTS S/KE VALUES A COMPONENT OF  
THE ACTION . . . . Ryan is a consequentialist AND EVEN IF SAYS "MAX" - THAT  
IS NOT A LINK INTO THE BLOCK.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Seven Lakes Sd**

**Strake Jesuit College PT**

Steve delperdang

27

Paul Tyger

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from STRAKE PT  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ZAR is fairly new. Even if I grant the Aff some  
leeway there is no answer to the implication of the  
internalization of norm & to kill ~~has more~~ <sup>harm</sup> societal util more  
than taking the rez action <sup>helps</sup>. Second, Neg is winning  
rule util over act util. 3rd - Neg wins MacIntyre.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Meadows JL**

**Law Magnet JR**

Jordan LaMothe

26,5

Jacqueline Rios

29,5

The better debating was done by the NEG from LAW MAGNET  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

THE AFF DOES NOT HAVE A COMPELLING OFFENSIVE ARG AGAINST THE (K). THE BEST  
ARGUMENT IS THE AUTONOMY/VALUE TO LIFE ARGUMENT FROM THE IAC BUT  
THERE IS NO ARTICULATION OF THE "REAL WORLD" IMPACT OF ↑ AUTONOMY IN TERMS OF  
IMAGINING/HYPOTHETICAL PEOPLE BEING ALLOWED TO COMMIT SUICIDE VS THE 'REAL WORLD'  
EFFECT OF VIOLENT LANGUAGE STRUCTURING REALITY. MORE ORAL.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems, speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Plano TL

Pace Academy MM

Tomas Lorinc

28

Michael Melia

27.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from PLANO  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

MM - MORE UNIQUE NC ARG PLZ; DON'T REPEAT YOUR CASE.

- THERE IS NO IMPACT TO EXTRA-RESOLUTIONARY / ADUSE
- THE SIDE CONSTRAINT ARG IS PERSUASIVE BUT DOES NOT DEAL W/ ~~THE~~ SCAN CON'S  
~~REASONABLE~~ ARG ABOUT THE LACK OF DISTINCTION B/W ACTION + IN ACTION + THE  
PARALYSIS ARGUMENT - IF DEATH IS INEVITABLE, THERE IS NO IMPACT TO RESOLVING  
BUT SO PREVENTING AVOIDABLE DEATHS ~~AND~~ WITH UNAVOIDABLE ONES IS M. PERMISSIBLE.  
MORE ORAL.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

## AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Walt Whitman JK

Jane Kessner

28.5

## NEGATIVE

POINTS

Hockaday SV

Shivani Vohra

29.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from Hockaday SV  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

## COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF

- in Lovestrong AC

NEG

- good NC... perhaps clear up the structure  
in can to make the flow of it a little

RFD

I vote Neg on The NC  
Oral, →

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems, speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Westlake AW**

**Walt Whitman EM**

Andrew Waks

29

Emily Massey

30

The better debating was done by the NEG from WHITMAN  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

*Douglas Jeffers*

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I THINK THAT THERE WAS NEVER A CLEAN  
RESPONSE TO THE HURRICANE THING SO THE  
A C DOESN'T AFFIRM. THE 2A N WAS  
REALLY GOOD BUT I THINK THAT A LOT  
OF THE CROSS APPLICATIONS WERE NEW.

Room: FA120  
Flight B: Start 3:00pm  
LD

3

spirtos, mike

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems, speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**West Des Moines Valle YZ**

Yale Zhu

26

**Hockaday KF**

Kathleen French



27

The better debating was done by the NEG from Hockaday KF  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Michael Spirtos

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:



# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Carnegie Vanguard RV**

**Hebron JW**

Rachel Vogel

28

Jonathan Wallen

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Carnegie Vanguard  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: J. Ryan Graham

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral

RFD: ~~AC~~ The AC is winning her interpretation of morality as ~~not~~ a meaningful concept in itself, but premised upon the past actions taken in the name of morality. These actions dominate/deny others moral capacity which serves as a ~~definition~~ "definition" of morality. The warrants coming out of the AC ~~are~~ are used to justify the AC under (prove aff. deny ~~AC~~ capacity) & exclude the NC. The NC turn does not deny the possibility of affirming & the NC is also used as offense to justify affirming. Thus, I affirm.



# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems, speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Austin SFA JM

Strake Jesuit College RH

James Monaco

28

Roddy Hernandez

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Austin SFA  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: J. Ryan Graham

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral

RFD: I'm unsure of how the <sup>AC</sup> interview and the AC overview mesh in the round and (since both of you are doing ~~a good~~ <sup>a good</sup> job of extending the arguments) I feel uncomfortable voting on either one. Zupnick is extended to justify why we can never have an objective ethical system (and goes dropped) which would preclude the AC's deontological interpretation of morality ~~(and)~~ and I feel, the overview's argument about affirming leading to ~~a~~ <sup>a society</sup> ~~that~~ like the Holocaust. Based on the AC framework extensions about truth & the burdens, I affirm b/c there is no moral prohibition against affirming. If the AC arguments about affirming destroying morality were weighed I feel that <sup>could</sup> ~~be~~ be sufficient to negate (depending on the particular argument and impact).

Room: FA111  
Flight A: Start 2:15pm  
LD

3

Randall, Laura

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Bronx Science AS**

Adam Silver

27.5

**Crowley HL**

Hailey Lopez

~~20~~ 27.5

The better debating was done by the neg from Crowley  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Laura Randall

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

OKAL

Room: FA111  
Flight B: Start 3:00pm  
LD

3

Randall, Laura

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Brophy College Prep MJ**

**Dulles SC**

Matt Johnson

27.5

Sasha Chada

27.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Brophy  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Laura Randall

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral

Room: LS119  
Flight B: Start 3:00pm  
LD

3

Vohra, Taarini

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**West Des Moines Valle AK**

Alex Kramer

27

**Winston Churchill LB**

Lynn Bimler

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Winston Churchill LB  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Taari Vohra

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

Room: LS119  
Flight A: Start 2:15pm  
LD

3

Vohra, Taarini

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Cathedral DD**

**Dulles KG**

David Delgado

28

Kiwi Gupta

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Dulles KG  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems, speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Los Altos DM

Winston Churchill CD

Daniel Moerner

29.5

Claire Daviss

29.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Churchill CD  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Neil Conrad

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The first issue is theory. There is no link to the abuse argument. From the outset and throughout the debate, the negative accepts the burden to prove that killing and letting die are not morally equivalent and that killing the one is worse than letting the more die. There is no ground loss sustained by the affirmative, and this is the agreement established in CX.

The next issue is "solvency." Of course the affirmative wants that ~~it~~ <sup>it</sup> is safer wise to presume that the more are saved, but by the same logic, it is presumed that the one is killed. So long as the negative ~~is~~ wins that killing is morally worse than letting die, the issue of solvency is irrelevant.

Then, the debate boils down to the case debate. The affirmative is conceding the first two Nagel cards, which establish a difference between intending harm and causing the unforeseen yet unintended harm. This argument is decisive given the AC framework. Additionally, the negative wins the first Winberg (sp?) card that omissions and commissions are morally distinct. Snyder 5 does not take out or outweigh either argument.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems, speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

Jenks DR

New Trier Township CC

27.5

Daniel Rose

26

Charlie Cao

~~27.5~~

The better debating was done by the NEG from New Trier CC  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Neil Conrad

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The IAR is too dismissive of the consequentialism bad block. There are multiple significant links, especially to the first and fourth arguments. Both the objectification and coercion arguments link directly to the AC standard. No affirmative offense outweighs these impacts.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

Greenhill TT

Tanya Thanawalla

27

St. Vincent De Paul BB

Brian Bordley

24

The better debating was done by the

Aff  
AFF or NEG

from

Greenhill TT  
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

*[Signature]*

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Brian - You are very difficult to understand. It isn't about the speed - although you were virtually unintelligible then. You have speech patterns - many words together that make strange parts of your speech. The result is random, disconnected language barely devoid of context. What is the meaning K? I only understood one of your prestandard arguments. And it seems to me it has a weak link.

I am voting on the burden in the Kagan exchange - I understood it. It is comical. Negative didn't read my profile, and ignored my answers to his questions in round.



Room: FA106  
Flight B: Start 3:00pm  
LD

3

Evnen, Tom

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Torrey Pines NK**

Naveen Krishnamurthi

27.5

**Westwood AA**

Abhay Agarwal

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from WESTWOOD AA  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

[Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Colleyville Heritage MT**

**Law Magnet LM**

Mubeen Tejani

27.5

Lorena Nanez

27

The better debating was done by the Aff from Colleyville  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

oral

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Monticello DC

Daniel Cook

28

West RB

Ryan Beck

26

*Sharon Case!*  
The better debating was done by the

Neg.  
AFF & NEG

from

Ryan Beck  
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: X

Signed: Jo Russell

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

*aff. had an interesting case, but it lacked a key ingredient: a standard to evaluate his argument. In addition, he dropped Neg's only contention on devaluation of human dignity to a unit of account. Aff. failed to prove Neg. true!*

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems, speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Strake Jesuit College PB**

Philip Bartholomew

~~26.5~~ 26.5

**Kingwood GA**

Geoff Alexander

23

The better debating was done by the AFF from Fab  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

very poor execution

by both of you,

- misrepresented authors
- poor IAR
- badly written NC

etc etc etc

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

St. John's MJ

Matt Joyce

26

Greenhill EC

Ed Culham

26

The better debating was done by the NEG from Greenhill EC  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

*Gary Johnson*

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

There is literally no way to weigh/decide this round. Both have unwarranted & conflicting burdens. No one is justifying a criterion. So I default to using ~~the~~ one of the burden structures in the 2NR, which is if it's permissible or not (seems the most fair b/c it's just the resolutional question. Quinn extension proves rt. to life can't be violated.

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems, speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Westlake DR

Newtown High School ( AA

Devin Race

29

Akshay Agashe

29

The better debating was done by the Aff from Westlake DR  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Nathan Abell

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Skepticism is Aff ground b/c of the two ags. the dropped (Aff weighing analysis).  
The only other places for valid ethical theories are

- Banner → Gallegos ethical, & meet the test of C4-Ac
- GW → & a moral theory &
- AC case/deontism → & linked to burden in NC, only a bit in WR

so I off b/c of a lack of a demonstrable prohibition.

Best. Round. Ever.

B<sub>2</sub> far the best I've seen this  
year. By far.

Like MY  
Ballot Round  
was pretty sloppy!!

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks  
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems, speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

## AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Anderson BB

Bronte Bejarano

25

Don't Ask How  
Many Sheets of  
Paper to Flow. Still question

## NEGATIVE

1:50

POINTS

Cascia Hall RK

Rahul Kohli

25

The better debating was done by the

AFF or NEG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

Samuel [Signature]

Anderson BB

## COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

There IS  
Not A Fully Defined  
Argument  
To Vote  
For So  
Neg IS  
Making Args  
That Don't Make  
Sense To ME. I  
At Least understand  
Aff Args

There IS Really Not Extending  
The [scribble]

A Completely Warranted Arg.

Neg Win Consequentialism

PROBLEMATIC

You Should Practice ReGIVING  
Speeches AND Tape Record Them. You Might Be Surprised How  
Choppy The Speeches Are

# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems,  
speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Jesuit WS**

William Simoneaux

28

**Apple Valley CT**

Chris Theis

29.5

The better debating was done by the

Aff  
AFF or NEG

from

~~Apple Valley~~ APPLE VALLEY  
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:





# HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Phone # for problems, speedy ballot entry: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Blake SM**

Susie Marshall

27

**Greenhill DS**

Danielle Smogard

29 1/2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Greenhill DS  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

**COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:**

Aff | w regard to your case structure, ~~if~~ if an argument is an independent reason to affirm, there needs to be a reason it is. saying "any of the following args - sufficient" doesn't tell me why. I could give reasons Indian food is awesome, but w/o any reason my arguments are actually sufficient, I really haven't proven it is the just given reasons it might be.